

Homogeneous geodesics of non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups and naturally reductive Lorentzian spaces in dimension three

G. Calvaruso and R.A. Marinosci*

Abstract

We determine, for all three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie groups equipped with a Lorentzian metric, the set of homogeneous geodesics through a point. Together with the results of [C] and [CM2], this leads to the full classification of three-dimensional Lorentzian g.o. spaces and naturally reductive spaces.

1 Introduction

A (connected) pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is *homogeneous* provided that there exists a group K of isometries acting transitively on it [O’N], that is, for any points $p, q \in M$, there is an isometry $\phi \in K$ such that $\phi(p) = q$. Such (M, g) can be then identified with $(K/H, g)$, where H is the isotropy group at a fixed point o of M . We recall here a few results concerning homogeneous manifolds, in the Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian case (in particular, in Lorentzian geometry).

Gadea and Oubiña [GO] introduced *homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures* in order to characterize reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Earlier, a corresponding result had been proved for all homogeneous Riemannian manifolds by Ambrose and Singer [AS] (see also [TV]).

Sekigawa [Se] proved that a three-dimensional connected, simply connected and complete homogeneous Riemannian manifold is either symmetric or it is a Lie group endowed of a left-invariant Riemannian metric. Taking into account the classification of three-dimensional Riemannian Lie groups given by Milnor [Mi], this result permits to determine all three-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian manifolds.

Recently, the first author obtained the following similar result in the Lorentzian framework:

Theorem 1.1 [C] *Let (M, g) be a three-dimensional connected, simply connected, complete homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. Then, either (M, g) is symmetric, or it is isometric to a three-dimensional Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.*

Theorem 1.1, together with the results on three-dimensional Lorentzian Lie groups obtained by Cordero and Parker [C0Pa2] and Rahmani [R], leads to the classification of three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds.

* Authors supported by funds of MURST, GNSAGA and the University of Lecce.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C20, 53C22, 53C30.

Keywords and phrases: Lorentzian homogeneous spaces, homogeneous geodesics, naturally reductive spaces, g.o. spaces.

It is then natural to try to characterize and classify some special classes of three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds having a special geometric meaning, also in order to compare such results in the Lorentzian case with their Riemannian analogues. In [C], the first author classified three-dimensional Lorentzian symmetric spaces. Broader interesting classes of homogeneous Lorentzian spaces are given by naturally reductive and g.o. spaces, both related to the notion of homogeneous geodesic.

Let $(M = K/H, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifold. A geodesic Γ through the origin $o \in M = K/H$ is called *homogeneous* if it is the orbit of a 1-parameter subgroup. In general, the group K is not unique. If Γ is homogeneous with respect to some isometry group K' , then it is also homogeneous with respect to the maximal connected group of isometries K , but the converse does not hold [KNV].

Homogeneous geodesics of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds have been investigated by many authors. We can refer to [CM1],[CKM],[K2],[KNV],[KS],[KV],[KVI],[M], for some examples and further references. In [KS], O. Kowalski and J. Szenthe proved the fundamental result that *any homogeneous Riemannian manifold admits at least one homogeneous geodesic*.

A corresponding existence result holds in the Lorentzian case, provided that the space is reductive homogeneous [P]. In the framework of Lorentzian geometry, homogeneous geodesics also acquire a new interest, since homogeneous Lorentzian spaces for which all null geodesics are homogeneous, are candidates for constructing solutions to the 11-dimensional supergravity, which preserve more than 24 of the available 32 supersymmetries. In fact, all Penrose limits, preserving the amount of supersymmetry, of such a solution, must preserve homogeneity, which is the case for the Penrose limit of a reductive homogeneous spacetime along a null homogeneous geodesic ([Me],[P],[FMeP]).

A pseudo-Riemannian reductive homogeneous space $(M = K/H, g)$ is called a *g.o. space* if all its geodesics are homogeneous, it is *naturally reductive* if there exists at least one reductive split $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \langle [X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle + \langle [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y \rangle = 0,$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. It is not always easy to decide whether a homogeneous (reductive) pseudo-Riemannian manifold is or is not naturally reductive, because condition (1.1) must be checked for all groups of isometries acting transitively on M [TV]. It is also well-known that (1.1) holds if and only if the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) and the canonical connection (of the reductive split $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$) have exactly the same geodesics [TV]. Clearly, a naturally reductive space is g.o., but in dimension greater than 5 there exist Riemannian g.o. spaces which are in no way naturally reductive [KV], while in dimension three the two classes of Riemannian homogeneous spaces coincide. It is well-known that symmetric spaces are special examples of naturally reductive spaces. We recall that three-dimensional naturally reductive Lorentzian spaces have been already investigated by Cordero and Parker in [CoPa1], in order to determine the possible forms and the symmetry groups of their curvature tensor.

In [CM2], the authors determined homogeneous geodesics of all three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups admitting a left-invariant Lorentzian metric. In this paper, we investigate homogeneous geodesics of three-dimensional non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups. Taking into account Theorem 1.1, this permits to determine all three-dimensional g.o. and naturally reductive Lorentzian spaces.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we shall recall the basic definitions and properties of homogeneous geodesics in a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold. In Section 3, we shall report the classification of three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds, and we shall describe the set of geodesic vectors for all three-dimensional non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups. In Section 4 we will then give the classification of three-dimensional naturally reductive and g.o. Lorentzian manifolds.

2 Preliminaries on homogeneous geodesics

Let (M, g) be a (connected) homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then, its full isometry group $I(M)$ acts transitively on it and M can be identified with $(K/H, g)$, where $K \subset I(M)$ is a connected subgroup of $I(M)$ acting transitively on M and H is the isotropy group at a fixed point $o \in M$. In general, we can have more than one choice for K .

In contrast to the Riemannian case, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of K does not need to admit a reductive decomposition. Denote by \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebras of K and H respectively, and let \mathfrak{m} be a complement of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{k} . If \mathfrak{m} is stable under the action of \mathfrak{h} , then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is called a *reductive split*, and $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h})$ a *reductive pair*. It is important to stress that reductivity is not an intrinsic property of (M, g) , but of the description of M as coset space K/H . In fact, the so-called *Kaigorodov space* is an example of a homogeneous Lorentzian manifold which has two different coset descriptions, but only one of them is reductive [FMeP]. Nevertheless, a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called reductive if there exists a Lie group K acting transitively on M via isometries, with corresponding isotropy group H , such that $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h})$ is reductive.

Reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds are characterized by the existence of a pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous structure. Let M be a connected manifold and g a pseudo-Riemannian metric on M . We denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) and by R its curvature tensor.

Definition 2.1 A *homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure* on (M, g) is a tensor field T of type $(1, 2)$ on M , such that the connection $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$ satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}R = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}T = 0.$$

In the Riemannian case, homogeneous structures were first introduced by Ambrose and Singer [AS], and further investigated by Tricerri and Vanhecke [TV]. Gadea and Oubiña proved the following

Theorem 2.2 [GO] *Let (M, g) be a connected, simply connected and complete pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Then, (M, g) admits a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure if and only if it is a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold.*

The connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, satisfying conditions (2.1), is called the *canonical connection* associated to the homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure T . In the special case of a symmetric space, the torsion tensor \tilde{T} of $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfies the condition $\tilde{T} = 0$ and hence, $\tilde{\nabla}$ coincides with the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of (M, g) . In [C], in order to prove Theorem 1.1, the first author showed that any non-symmetric three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admits a homogeneous Lorentzian structure T such that $T_X Y = \nabla_X Y$ for all X, Y vector fields tangent to M . In particular, by Theorem 2.2, all three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian spaces are then reductive.

Let $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ a reductive split coming from a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structure T . The geodesics of $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$ are curves of the form

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(t) = \exp(tX)(o), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. They are called *canonical geodesics* of (M, g) [KoNo, Chapter 10, Cor.2.5].

Consider now a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M = K/H, g)$, where $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is a reductive split. As already mentioned in the Introduction, a geodesic Γ through the origin $o \in K/H$ is homogeneous if it is of the form $\Gamma(t) = \exp(tZ)(o)$, for some $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. If $\Gamma(t) = \exp(tX)(o)$ is a geodesic for some $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\Gamma(t)$ is also a geodesic for the canonical connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. For this reason, such geodesics are called *canonically homogeneous*. In general, a

homogeneous geodesic is not canonically homogeneous. Note that any reductive homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) admits at least one homogeneous geodesic through a point [P]. The question whether such a space always admits a *null* homogeneous geodesic through a point, had a negative answer in [CM2]. Further examples will be given in Section 3.

We now recall how the geometric problem of finding homogeneous geodesics of a reductive homogeneous space, reduces to the algebraic problem of determining its geodesic vectors. Let $(M = K/H, g)$ be a reductive homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ the corresponding reductive split of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} . The canonical projection $p : K \rightarrow K/H$ induces an isomorphism between the subspace \mathfrak{m} and the tangent space $T_o(M)$. In particular, the Lorentzian metric g_o on $T_o(M)$ induces a Lorentzian metric \langle, \rangle on \mathfrak{m} , which is $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant. The following characterization is a crucial step for determining homogeneous geodesics of a reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold:

Proposition 2.3 ([P],[FMP],[DK]). *Consider a geodesic $\Gamma(t)$ of $M = K/H$, with $\Gamma(0) = o$ and $\Gamma'(0) = X_m \in \mathfrak{m} (\equiv T_o(K/H))$. $\Gamma(t)$ is homogeneous if and only if there exists $X_h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $X = X_m + X_h \in \mathfrak{k}$ satisfies*

$$(2.3) \quad \langle [X, Y]_m, X_m \rangle = k \langle X_m, Y \rangle,$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ and some $k \in \mathbb{R}$ depending on X_m .

Proposition 2.3, whose proof can be found in [DK], is the Lorentzian analogue of Proposition 2.1 of [KV], characterizing homogeneous geodesics of a Riemannian homogeneous space.

A vector $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ satisfying (2.3) is called a *geodesic vector*. When X_m is either spacelike ($\langle X_m, X_m \rangle > 0$) or timelike ($\langle X_m, X_m \rangle < 0$), applying (2.3) with $Y = X_m$ we get $k = 0$, while for a null vector X_m , k may be any real constant. Note also that if $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, then $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{m}$ and (2.3) simplifies as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \langle [X, Y], X \rangle = k \langle X, Y \rangle,$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$.

A finite family $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_k\}$ of homogeneous geodesics through $o \in M = K/H$ is said to be *linearly independent* if the corresponding initial tangent vectors at o are linearly independent. The following result is obvious.

Proposition 2.4 *A finite family $\{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_k\}$ of homogeneous geodesics through $p_o \in M = K/H$ is linearly independent if the \mathfrak{m} -components of the corresponding geodesic vectors are linearly independent.*

3 Three-dimensional unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups and their homogeneous geodesics

S. Rahmani [R] classified three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric, obtaining a result corresponding to the one found by Milnor [Mi] in the Riemannian case. Earlier, Cordero and Parker [CoPa2] already studied three-dimensional Lie groups equipped with left-invariant Lorentzian metrics, determining their curvature tensors and investigating the symmetry groups of the sectional curvature in the different cases. In particular, they wrote down the possible forms of a non-unimodular Lie algebra. Taking into account these results and Theorem 1.1, we have the following:

Theorem 3.1 [C] *Let (M, g) be a three-dimensional connected, simply connected, complete homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. If (M, g) is not symmetric, then $M = G$ is a three-dimensional Lie group and g is left-invariant. Precisely, one of the following cases occurs:*

- *If G is unimodular, then there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, with e_3 timelike, such that the Lie algebra of G is one of the following:*

a)

$$(3.1) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_1) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \alpha e_1 - \beta e_3, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= -\alpha e_1 - \beta e_2, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \beta e_1 + \alpha e_2 + \alpha e_3 \quad \alpha \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $G = O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ if $\beta \neq 0$, while $G = E(1, 1)$ if $\beta = 0$.

b)

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_2) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \gamma e_2 - \beta e_3, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= -\beta e_2 + \gamma e_3, \quad \gamma \neq 0, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \alpha e_1. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, $G = O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ if $\alpha \neq 0$, while $G = E(1, 1)$ if $\alpha = 0$.

c)

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_3) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -\gamma e_3, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= -\beta e_2, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \alpha e_1. \end{aligned}$$

The following Table I lists all the Lie groups G which admit a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_3 , taking into account the different possibilities for α , β and γ :

G	α	β	γ
$O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	+	+	+
$O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	+	-	-
$SO(3)$ or $SU(2)$	+	+	-
$E(2)$	+	+	0
$E(2)$	+	0	-
$E(1, 1)$	+	-	0
$E(1, 1)$	+	0	+
H_3	+	0	0
H_3	0	0	-
$\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$	0	0	0

Table I

d)

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_4) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -e_2 + (2\varepsilon - \beta)e_3, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= -\beta e_2 + e_3, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \alpha e_1. \end{aligned}$$

The following Table II describes all Lie groups G admitting a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_4 :

G ($\varepsilon = 1$)	α	β	G ($\varepsilon = -1$)	α	β
$O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	$\neq 0$	$\neq 1$	$O(1, 2)$ or $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$	$\neq 0$	$\neq -1$
$E(1, 1)$	0	$\neq 1$	$E(1, 1)$	0	$\neq -1$
$E(1, 1)$	< 0	1	$E(1, 1)$	> 0	-1
$E(2)$	> 0	1	$E(2)$	< 0	-1
H_3	0	1	H_3	0	-1

Table II

- If G is non-unimodular, then there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, with e_3 timelike, such that the Lie algebra of G is one of the following:

e)

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_5) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= 0, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2, \end{aligned} \quad \alpha + \delta \neq 0, \alpha\gamma + \beta\delta = 0.$$

f)

$$(3.6) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_6) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \alpha e_2 + \beta e_3, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= \gamma e_2 + \delta e_3, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad \alpha + \delta \neq 0, \alpha\gamma - \beta\delta = 0.$$

g)

$$(3.7) \quad (\mathfrak{g}_7) : \begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -\alpha e_1 - \beta e_2 - \beta e_3, \\ [e_1, e_3] &= \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2 + \beta e_3, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2 + \delta e_3, \end{aligned} \quad \alpha + \delta \neq 0, \alpha\gamma = 0.$$

Following [CoPa2], cases $(\mathfrak{g}_5) - (\mathfrak{g}_7)$ are the possible forms of the non-unimodular Lie algebra of a three-dimensional Lorentzian Lie group, rewritten here for a Lorentzian metric of signature $(+, +, -)$ and a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ with e_3 timelike. The determinant $D = \frac{4(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{(\alpha + \delta)^2}$ provides a complete isomorphism invariant for Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_5) - (\mathfrak{g}_7)$.

Theorems 1.1 and 3.1 above have been used in [C] also to obtain the full classification of three-dimensional Lorentzian symmetric spaces. The results are summarized in the following

Theorem 3.2 [C] *A connected, simply connected three-dimensional Lorentzian symmetric space (M, g) is either*

i) *a Lorentzian space form S_1^3 , \mathbb{R}_1^3 or \mathbb{H}_1^3 , or*

ii) *a direct product $\mathbb{R} \times S_1^2$, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}_1^2$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, or*

iii) *a symmetric Lorentzian manifold having a parallel null vector field. It admits local coordinates (t, x, y) such that, with respect to the local frame field $\{(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}), (\frac{\partial}{\partial x}), (\frac{\partial}{\partial y})\}$, the Lorentzian*

metric g and the Ricci operator are given by

$$(3.8) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $u = (\frac{\partial}{\partial t})$ and $f(x, y) = x^2\alpha + x\beta(y) + \xi(y)$, for any constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and any functions β, ξ [ChGV, Theorem 6].

In particular, from the proof of Theorem 3.2, the following result holds for a non-unimodular Lie group:

Proposition 3.3 *A three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group G , equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric g , is symmetric if and only if its Lie algebra is one of the following:*

- \mathfrak{g}_5 with either $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0 \neq \delta$, $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0 \neq \alpha$ or $\beta + \gamma = 0 \neq \alpha = \delta$.
- \mathfrak{g}_6 with either $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0 \neq \delta$, $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0 \neq \alpha$, $\beta - \gamma = 0 \neq \alpha = \delta$ or $\beta - \varepsilon\alpha = 0 = \gamma - \varepsilon\delta$, with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.
- \mathfrak{g}_7 with either $\alpha = \gamma = 0 \neq \delta$, $\gamma = \delta = 0 \neq \alpha$ or $\alpha - \delta = \gamma = 0$.

Next, let (G, g) be a three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric g ; let \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. As group space, G admits a left-invariant connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, such that $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ [KoNo]. Let \tilde{R} and \tilde{T} denote the curvature tensor and the torsion tensor associated to $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively. Then, $\tilde{R}(X, Y) = 0$ and $\tilde{T}(X, Y) = -[X, Y]$ for all vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Theorem 2.2, the tensor $T = \nabla - \tilde{\nabla}$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection, is a Lorentzian homogeneous structure.

For all possible forms \mathfrak{g}_i , $i = 5, 6, 7$, we shall compute the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of the connected component K of the full isometry group $I(G)$, find a reductive decomposition and determine geodesic vectors.

In order to determine \mathfrak{h} so that $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, we first note that \mathfrak{h} is isomorphic to the set of all self-adjoint endomorphisms A of \mathfrak{g} , such that $A(R) = A(\nabla R) = \dots = A(\nabla^n R) = 0$ for all n . Because G is three-dimensional, we equivalently have

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{A \in gl(\mathfrak{g}) / A(g) = A(\varrho) = A(\nabla \varrho) = \dots = A(\nabla^n \varrho) \dots = 0\}.$$

Moreover, put

$$\mathfrak{h}_k = \{A \in gl(\mathfrak{g}) / A(g) = A(\varrho) = A(\nabla \varrho) = \dots = A(\nabla^k \varrho) = 0\},$$

for any $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and

$$\mathfrak{l} = \{A \in gl(\mathfrak{g}) / A(g) = A(\tilde{R}) = A(\tilde{T}) = 0\}.$$

Then, we clearly have

$$\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{h}_k,$$

for all k and so, if $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h}_k$ for some k , then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}$. We refer to [K1, p.54] for more details.

Let A be an endomorphism of \mathfrak{g} and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ a pseudo-orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} , with e_3 timelike. Condition $A(g) = 0$ means that A is self-adjoint. Hence, there exist some real constants a, b, c , such that

$$(3.9) \quad Ae_1 = be_2 + ce_3, \quad Ae_2 = -be_1 + ae_3, \quad Ae_3 = ce_1 + ae_2.$$

Since $\tilde{R} = 0$ $\tilde{T}(X, Y) = -[X, Y]$, condition $A(\tilde{R}) = 0$ is trivially satisfied, while $A(\tilde{T}) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$(3.10) \quad A[e_i, e_j] = [Ae_i, e_j] + [e_i, Ae_j] \quad \text{for all } i, j.$$

Now, we shall inspect our three basic algebras case by case.

(\mathfrak{g}_5): Consider an endomorphism A of \mathfrak{g}_5 satisfying (3.9). Starting from (3.5), we can write down (3.10) and we get

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha a - \gamma c = 0, \\ \beta a - \delta c = 0, \\ (\beta + \gamma)b = 0, \\ (\alpha - \delta)b = 0, \\ \beta a + \alpha c = 0, \\ \delta a + \gamma c = 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and $\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta = 0$, we have two possible cases:

(I): $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \alpha)$. In this case, $a = b = c = 0$ is the only solution of (3.11), that is, $\mathfrak{l} = 0$.

(II): $(\gamma, \delta) = (-\beta, \alpha)$. Then, by (3.11), we only get $a = c = 0$. So, $\mathfrak{l} = \text{Span}(A)$, where $Ae_1 = e_2$, $Ae_2 = -e_1$ and $Ae_3 = 0$.

(\mathfrak{g}_6): Let A be an endomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_6 satisfying (3.9). We use (3.6) to compute (3.10) and we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} (\alpha - \delta)a = 0, \\ (\beta - \gamma)a = 0, \\ \alpha b - \beta c = 0, \\ \gamma b - \delta c = 0, \\ \gamma b + \alpha c = 0, \\ \delta b + \beta c = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta = 0$, we have that if $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \alpha)$, then $a = b = c = 0$ is the only solution of (3.12). Therefore, in this case $\mathfrak{l} = 0$. In the remaining case, $(\gamma, \delta) = (\beta, \alpha)$ and so, by Proposition 3.3, (G, g) is symmetric.

(\mathfrak{g}_7): Let A be an endomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_7 , satisfying (3.9). By (3.7), we see that A satisfies (3.10) if and only if

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(b - c) = \alpha a - \gamma c, \\ \beta a + \alpha b = \delta c - \beta a, \\ \beta a + \alpha c = \delta c - \beta a, \\ \beta(b - c) = \alpha a - \gamma b, \\ \beta a + \alpha b = \delta b - \beta a, \\ \beta a + \alpha c = \delta b - \beta a, \\ \alpha(c - b) = \delta(c - b), \\ \beta(c - b) = \delta a + \gamma b, \\ \beta(c - b) = \delta a + \gamma c. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to show that, whenever $\alpha \neq \delta$, we have $a = b = c = 0$ as the only solution of (3.13) and so, $\mathfrak{l} = 0$. On the other hand, if $\alpha = \delta$ then, by (3.7), $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 0$. In this case, Proposition 3.3 implies that (G, g) is symmetric.

Next, routine but very long calculations can show that, for any $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_i$, $i = 5, 6, 7$, there exists a $k \leq 2$ such that $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h}_k$. In particular, we have the following

Proposition 3.4 Let (G, g) be a non-unimodular Lie group, equipped with a *non-symmetric* left-invariant Lorentzian metric, and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra.

- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_5$, we have $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{l}$, except in the following cases:
 - a) if $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \gamma)$ and $\beta\delta \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$.
 - b) if either $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0 \neq \delta$, or $\gamma = \delta = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0 \neq \beta$, then $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_6$, we have $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$, unless $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \gamma)$ and $\beta(\beta^2 - \alpha^2) \neq 0$. In the last case, $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_7$, we have $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$, except in the following cases:
 - a) if $\gamma = 0$ and $\alpha\delta(\alpha^2 - \delta^2) \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$.
 - b) if $\alpha = \beta = 0 \neq \gamma$, then $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathfrak{l} = 0$.

By Proposition 3.4, we have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l}$ for all non-symmetric non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups. Therefore, for each of them, $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ is the Lie algebra of the connected component K of the full isometry group of G . So, we can now determine the homogeneous geodesics in the different cases.

(\mathfrak{g}_5): If $(\gamma, \delta) = (-\beta, \alpha)$ then, by Proposition 3.3, (G, g) is symmetric. In particular, it is naturally reductive. Hence, in the sequel we shall assume $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \gamma)$. In this case, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l} = 0$ and so, $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}_5$ and $K = G$. A vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}_5$ is geodesic if and only if (2.4) holds for all $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_5$ and some constant k . We write down (2.4) for $Y = e_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Using (3.5) and taking into account that $X = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$, we find that (2.4) is equivalent to the following system:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} -x_3(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) = kx_1, \\ -x_3(\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2) = kx_2, \\ \alpha x_1^2 + (\beta + \gamma)x_1 x_2 + \delta x_2^2 = -kx_3. \end{cases}$$

In determining the solutions of (3.14) we must also take into account that, by (3.5), $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and $\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta = 0$. It is easy to prove that when $k = 0$, the solutions of (3.14) are either $x_3 = \alpha x_1^2 + (\beta + \gamma)x_1 x_2 + \delta x_2^2 = 0$, $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 = 0$ (but only if $\gamma = \delta = 0$), or $\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2 = 0$ (but only if $\alpha = \beta = 0$). If $k \neq 0$, only null-like solutions can occur, so we must add we must add to (3.14) the condition

$$(3.15) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = 0.$$

Standard calculations show that, when $k \neq 0$, (3.14) and (3.15) are both satisfied if and only if $\gamma x_1^2 + (\delta - \alpha)x_1 x_2 - \beta x_2^2 = 0$. Summarizing, geodesic vectors have one of the following forms:

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} X = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2, & \text{with } \alpha x_1^2 + (\beta + \gamma)x_1 x_2 + \delta x_2^2 = 0, \\ X = x_3 e_3, \\ X = x_1(\delta e_1 - \gamma e_2) + x_3 e_3 & \text{but } \alpha = \beta = 0, \\ X = x_2(-\beta e_1 + \alpha e_2) + x_3 e_3 & \text{but } \gamma = \delta = 0, \\ X = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \pm \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} e_3 & \text{with } \gamma x_1^2 + (\delta - \alpha)x_1 x_2 - \beta x_2^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, by (3.16), whenever $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$ and $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$, there are not null-like geodesic vectors. So, in this case G does not admit null homogeneous geodesics. If in addition $(\beta + \gamma)^2 - 4\alpha\delta < 0$, then the only geodesic vectors are the ones parallel to e_3 (timelike).

(\mathfrak{g}_6): We assume $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \gamma)$, since in the remaining case, G is symmetric. When $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \gamma)$, we have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l} = 0$ and so, $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}_6$ and $K = G$. This case is quite similar to the corresponding one for \mathfrak{g}_5 . In fact, a vector $X = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 \in \mathfrak{k}$ is geodesic if and only if satisfies (2.4), that is, using (3.6),

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{cases} -\alpha x_2^2 + (\beta - \gamma)x_2x_3 + \delta x_3^2 = kx_1, \\ x_1(\alpha x_2 - \beta x_3) = kx_2, \\ x_1(\gamma x_2 - \delta x_3) = -kx_3. \end{cases}$$

By (3.5) we have $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta = 0$. For $k = 0$, the solutions of (3.19) are either $x_1 = \alpha x_2^2 + (\gamma - \beta)x_2x_3 - \delta x_3^2 = 0$, $x_2 = x_3 = 0$, $\alpha x_2 - \beta x_3 = 0$ (but only if $\gamma = \delta = 0$), or $\gamma x_2 - \delta x_3 = 0$ (but only if $\alpha = \beta = 0$). Indeed, $\alpha x_2 - \beta x_3 = 0$ and $\gamma x_2 - \delta x_3 = 0$ also occur as solutions of (3.19) when $(\beta, \delta) = \pm(\alpha, \gamma)$, but in this case we get a symmetric space [C] and so, we discard it.

If $k \neq 0$, the null-like solutions of (3.19) are all and the ones triples (x_1, x_2, x_3) satisfying $\gamma x_2^2 + (\alpha - \delta)x_2x_3 - \beta x_3^2 = 0$ (and the null-like condition (3.15)). Therefore, geodesic vectors have one of the following forms:

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} X = x_2e_2 + x_3e_3, & \text{with } \alpha x_1^2 + (\gamma - \beta)x_2x_3 - \delta x_3^2 = 0, \\ X = x_1e_1, \\ X = x_1e_1 + x_2(\delta e_2 + \gamma e_3) & \text{but } \alpha = \beta = 0, \\ X = x_1e_1 + x_3(\beta e_2 + \alpha e_3) & \text{but } \gamma = \delta = 0, \\ X = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 \pm \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} e_3 & \text{with } \gamma x_2^2 + (\alpha - \delta)x_2x_3 - \beta x_3^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (3.20), whenever $(\beta - \gamma)^2 + 4\alpha\delta < 0$, $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$ and $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$, the only geodesic vectors are the ones parallel to e_1 (spacelike).

(\mathfrak{g}_7): By (3.7), $\alpha\gamma = 0$, that is, either $\alpha = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$. It is enough to consider the case $\alpha \neq \delta$, since in the remaining case we have a symmetric space. In fact, if $\alpha = \delta$, then (3.7) implies $2\alpha = \alpha + \delta \neq 0$ and so, $\gamma = 0$. Then, $\alpha - \delta = \gamma = 0$ and, by Proposition 3.3, (G, g) is symmetric.

So, in the sequel we shall assume $\alpha \neq \delta$. Then, $\mathfrak{h} = 0$, that is, $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}_7$ and $K = G$. Applying (2.4) and taking into account (3.7), we find that a vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}_7$ is geodesic if and only if

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{cases} (x_2 - x_3)[\alpha x_1 + \beta(x_2 - x_3)] = kx_1, \\ (\alpha x_1 + \gamma x_3)x_1 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_3)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_2, \\ (\alpha x_1 + \gamma x_2)x_1 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_2)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_3. \end{cases}$$

We exclude the case $\alpha = \gamma = 0$, since by Proposition 3.3 it corresponds to a symmetric space. In order to solve system (3.21), we shall treat separately the cases $\alpha = 0 \neq \gamma$ and $\gamma = 0 \neq \alpha$.

A): $\alpha = 0 \neq \gamma$. Then, (3.21) becomes

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{cases} \beta(x_2 - x_3)^2 = kx_1, \\ \gamma x_1x_3 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_3)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_2, \\ \gamma x_1x_2 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_2)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_3. \end{cases}$$

By standard calculations we get that when $k = 0$, (3.22) holds if and only if either $x_2 = x_3 = 0$, $x_1 = x_2 - x_3 = 0$ or $\gamma x_1 + \delta(x_2 - x_3) = 0$ (the latter only if $\beta = 0$). When $k \neq 0$, we must consider only null-like solutions. We treat separately the cases $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 \neq 0$. If $x_1 = 0$, we easily conclude that (3.22) only admits the solution $x_1 = x_2 + x_3 = 0$, and only if $\beta = 0$. In the remaining case $x_1 \neq 0$, we can use the first equation of (3.22) to write k in function of x_1 , x_2 and x_3 . Then, rather long calculations lead to show that the only null-like solutions of (3.22) (with $x_1 \neq 0$) are of the form

$$x_1 = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\delta} x_2 - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{\delta} x_3,$$

where x_2, x_3 must satisfy the second order homogeneous equation

$$(3.21) \quad [(\beta + \gamma)^2 + \delta^2]x_2^2 - 2(\beta^2 - \gamma^2)x_2x_3 + [(\beta - \gamma)^2 - \delta^2]x_3^2 = 0.$$

Equation (3.21) only admits real solutions when $\delta^2(4\beta\gamma + \delta^2) \geq 0$. Since $\alpha = 0$, we have $D = \frac{-4\beta\gamma}{\delta^2}$. Therefore, $\delta^2(4\beta\gamma + \delta^2) = \delta^4(1 - D)$ and so, such solutions exist if and only if $D \leq 1$. Hence, all geodesic vectors are of one of the following forms:

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} X = x_1 e, \\ X = x_2(e_2 + e_3), \\ X = -\frac{\delta}{\gamma}(x_2 - x_3)e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 \quad \text{but } \beta = 0, \\ X = \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\delta}x_2 - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{\delta}x_3\right)e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 \quad \text{but (3.23) holds.} \end{cases}$$

B): $\alpha \neq 0 = \gamma$. In this case, (3.21) becomes

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{cases} (x_2 - x_3)[\alpha x_1 + \beta(x_2 - x_3)] = kx_1, \\ \alpha x_1^2 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_3)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_2, \\ \alpha x_1^2 + (\beta x_1 + \delta x_2)(x_2 - x_3) = -kx_3. \end{cases}$$

Suppose first that $k = 0$. Then, it is easy to conclude that, apart from cases corresponding to symmetric spaces listed in Proposition 3.3, (3.25) holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 - x_3 = 0$. For $k \neq 0$, if $x_1 = 0$, then (3.25) admit the solution $x_1 = x_2 + x_3 = 0$, but only if $\beta = 0$. In the remaining case $x_1 \neq 0$, after some calculations we obtain that the solutions are all and the ones of the form

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = \frac{2\beta(\alpha - \delta)}{\beta^2 + (\alpha - \delta)^2} x_3, \\ x_2 = \frac{\beta^2 - (\alpha - \delta)^2}{\beta^2 + (\alpha - \delta)^2} x_3, \end{cases}$$

Therefore, all geodesic vectors are of one of the following forms:

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{cases} X = x_2(e_2 + e_3), \\ X = x_2(e_2 - e_3) \quad \text{but } \beta = 0, \\ X = x_3 \{2\beta(\alpha - \delta)e_1 + [\beta^2 - (\alpha - \delta)^2]e_2 + [\beta^2 + (\alpha - \delta)^2]e_3\}. \end{cases}$$

The calculations above are resumed in the following

Proposition 3.5 *Let (M, g) be a three-dimensional Lorentzian homogeneous space, not symmetric, isometric to a non-unimodular Lie group G . Let \mathfrak{g}_i , $i = 5, 6, 7$, denote the Lie algebra of G . Then, the set of geodesic vectors of M through any point is described in the following Table III.*

Table III	
Lie algebra	Geodesic vectors
\mathfrak{g}_5 with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \alpha)$	$x_3 e_3$ $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$ if $\alpha x_1^2 + (\beta + \gamma)x_1 x_2 + \delta x_2^2 = 0$ $x_1(\delta e_1 - \gamma e_2) + x_3 e_3$ if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ $x_2(-\beta e_1 + \alpha e_2) + x_3 e_3$ if $\gamma = \delta = 0$ $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \pm \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} e_3$ if $\gamma x_1^2 + (\delta - \alpha)x_1 x_2 - \beta x_2^2 = 0$
\mathfrak{g}_6 with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \alpha)$	$x_1 e_1$ $x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ if $\alpha x_2^2 + (\gamma - \beta)x_2 x_3 - \delta x_3^2 = 0$ $x_1 e_1 + x_2(\delta e_2 + \gamma e_3)$ if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ $x_1 e_1 + x_3(\beta e_2 + \alpha e_3)$ if $\gamma = \delta = 0$ $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \pm \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} e_3$ if $\gamma x_2^2 + (\alpha - \delta)x_2 x_3 - \beta x_3^2 = 0$
\mathfrak{g}_7 with $\alpha = 0 \neq \gamma$	$x_1 e_1$ $x_2(e_2 + e_3)$ $-\frac{\delta}{\gamma}(x_2 - x_3)e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ if $\beta = 0$ $\left(\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\delta}x_2 - \frac{\beta - \gamma}{\delta}x_3\right)e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ if (3.23) holds
\mathfrak{g}_7 with $\alpha \neq 0 = \gamma$	$x_2(e_2 + e_3)$ $x_2(e_2 - e_3)$ if $\beta = 0$ $x_3 \{2\beta(\alpha - \delta)e_1 + [\beta^2 - (\alpha - \delta)^2]e_2 + [\beta^2 + (\alpha - \delta)^2]e_3\}$

All information about existence of null and linearly independent homogeneous geodesic through a point, can be easily derived in the different cases from Table III above. It is worthwhile to note that many conditions which appear in Table III, are strictly related to the value of the isomorphism invariant $D = \frac{4(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{(\alpha + \delta)^2}$. For example, taking into account that, by (3.5), $\alpha\gamma + \beta\delta = 0$, we have that, for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_5 with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \alpha)$, geodesic vectors either of the form $x_1(\delta e_1 - \gamma e_2) + x_3 e_3$ or $x_2(-\beta e_1 + \alpha e_2) + x_3 e_3$ exist if and only if $D = 0$, and geodesic vectors of the form $x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \pm \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} e_3$ exist if and only if $D \leq 1$.

The most interesting results concerning the existence of linearly independent and null homogeneous geodesics are summarized in the following Theorems 3.6 and 3.7.

Theorem 3.6 *Let G be a three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group, equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric g , and \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G . Assume (G, g) is not symmetric.*

- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_5$ with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \alpha)$, then through any point of G there are three linearly independent homogeneous geodesics, unless one of the following cases occurs:
 - (i) $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ and $(\beta + \gamma)^2 - 4\alpha\delta = 0$. In this case, there are two linearly independent homogeneous geodesics.
 - (ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ and $(\beta + \gamma)^2 - 4\alpha\delta < 0$. In this case, there is just one homogeneous geodesic through a point.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_6$ with $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \alpha)$, then through any point of G there are three linearly independent homogeneous geodesics, unless:

(i) $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ and $(\beta - \gamma)^2 + 4\alpha\delta = 0$. In this case, there are two linearly independent homogeneous geodesics.

(ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ and $(\beta - \gamma)^2 + 4\alpha\delta < 0$. In this case, there is just one homogeneous geodesic through a point.

- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_7$ with $\alpha = 0 \neq \gamma$, then through any point of G there are three linearly independent homogeneous geodesics if and only if either $\beta = 0$ or $D \leq 1$. If $\beta \neq 0$ and $D > 1$, there are only two linearly independent homogeneous geodesics.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_7$ with $\alpha \neq 0 = \gamma$, then through any point of G there are three linearly independent homogeneous geodesics if and only if either $\beta = 0$. If $\beta \neq 0$, there are only two linearly independent homogeneous geodesics.

Theorem 3.7 Consider a three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group G , with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric g . Assume (G, g) is not symmetric.

- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_5$, G admits null homogeneous geodesics through a point, except when conditions $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (-\beta, \alpha)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$ and $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ are simultaneously satisfied.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_6$, G admits null homogeneous geodesics through a point, except when conditions $(\gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta, \alpha)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$, $(\alpha - \delta)^2 + 4\beta\gamma < 0$ and $(\beta - \gamma)^2 + 4\alpha\delta < 0$ are simultaneously satisfied.
- When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_7$, G always admits at least a null homogeneous geodesic through a point. In particular, if $\alpha \neq 0 = \gamma$, then all homogeneous geodesics are null.

4 Three-dimensional naturally reductive and g.o. Lorentzian spaces

In the previous Section, we determined all geodesic vectors for the different possible forms of the Lie algebra of a three-dimensional Lorentzian non-unimodular Lie group. A corresponding investigation was made in [CM2] in the unimodular case. Because of Theorem 1.1, every (connected, simply connected) three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifold M is isometric to a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric, unless M is symmetric. If M is symmetric, then in particular it is naturally reductive and a g.o. space. Hence, we actually know the sets of homogeneous geodesics through a point for *all* three-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds, and this allows us to classify three-dimensional Lorentzian g.o. and naturally reductive spaces.

The results of Section 4 of [CM2], as concerns the existence of g.o. spaces, can be summarized in the following

Theorem 4.1 [CM2] A three-dimensional unimodular Lorentzian Lie group (G, g) is a g.o. space if and only if either it is symmetric, or its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is one of the following:

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_3$, with either $\alpha = \beta \neq \gamma$, $\alpha = \gamma \neq \beta$ or $\beta = \gamma \neq \alpha$.
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_4$, with $\alpha = \beta - \varepsilon$.

Moreover, in all these cases, G is also naturally reductive.

On the other hand, Section 3 here permits to conclude that a three-dimensional non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie group is never a g.o. space, unless it is symmetric. (The same result is true in the Riemannian case [TV].) Therefore, the following classification result holds:

Theorem 4.2 *Let (M, g) be a connected, simply connected three-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) (M, g) is a g.o. space.
- (ii) (M, g) is naturally reductive.
- (iii) Either (M, g) is symmetric, or it is isometric to a unimodular Lie group G , equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric, having one of the following Lie algebras:
 - $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_3$, with either $\alpha = \beta \neq \gamma$, $\alpha = \gamma \neq \beta$ or $\beta = \gamma \neq \alpha$.
 - $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_4$, with $\alpha = \beta - \varepsilon$.

Unimodular Lie groups admitting one of the Lie algebras listed in Theorem 4.2, can be easily deduced from Tables I and II. Hence, we can get the explicit classification of three-dimensional non-symmetric naturally reductive Lorentzian spaces.

Theorem 4.3 *A three-dimensional connected, simply connected Lorentzian manifold (M, g) is a non-symmetric naturally reductive space if and only if it is isometric to*

- a) $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$,
- b) $SU(2)$,
- c) H_3 ,

equipped with a suitable left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

It is worthwhile to compare this result with Theorem 6.5 in [TV], where it was proved that $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, $SU(2)$ and H_3 , equipped with a suitable left-invariant Riemannian metric, are the only three-dimensional naturally reductive non-symmetric Riemannian spaces.

References

- [AS] W. Ambrose and I.M. Singer, On homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, *Duke Math. J.* 25 (1958), 647-669.
- [C] G. Calvaruso, Homogeneous structures on three-dimensional Lorentzian manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.*, to appear.
- [CM1] G. Calvaruso and R. Marinosci, Homogeneous geodesics in five-dimensional generalized symmetric spaces, *Balkan J. Geom. Appl.* (1) 8 (2002), 1-19.
- [CM2] G. Calvaruso and R. Marinosci, Homogeneous geodesics of three-dimensional unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups, *Mediterranean J. Math.*, to appear.
- [CKM] G. Calvaruso, O. Kowalski and R. Marinosci, Homogeneous geodesics in solvable Lie groups, *Acta Math. Hungarica* (4) 101 (2003), 313-322.
- [ChGV] M. Chaichi, E. García-Río and M.E. Vázquez-Abal, Three-dimensional Lorentz manifolds admitting a parallel null vector field, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* 38 (2005), 841-850.

- [CoPa1] L.A. Cordero and P.E. Parker, Symmetries of sectional curvature on 3-manifolds, *Demonstratio Math.* (3) 28 (1995), 635-650.
- [CoPa2] L.A. Cordero and P.E. Parker, Left-invariant Lorentzian metrics on 3-dimensional Lie groups, *Rend. Mat., Serie VII* 17 (1997), 129-155.
- [DK] Z. Dušek and O. Kowalski, On light-like homogeneous geodesics, preprint, 2006.
- [FMeP] J. Figueroa-O'Farril, P. Meessen and S. Philip, Homogeneity and plane wave limits, *arXiv: hep-th/0504069* (2005), 1-32.
- [GO] P.M. Gadea and J.A. Oubina, Homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian structures and homogeneous almost para-Hermitian structures, *Houston J. Math.* (3) 18 (1992), 449-465.
- [KoNo] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry I,II*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1963, 1969.
- [K1] O. Kowalski, Classification of generalized symmetric Riemannian spaces of dimension $n \leq 5$, *Rozprawy CS AV, Rada MP V* 85 (1975), n.8.
- [K2] O. Kowalski, *Generalized symmetric spaces*, Lectures Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 805, 1980).
- [KN] O. Kowalski and S. Nikčević, On geodesic graphs of Riemannian g.o. spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 73 (1999), 223-234.
- [KNV1] O. Kowalski, S. Nikčević and Z. Vlášek, Homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds (examples), *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, (Beijing/Berlin,1999, World Sci. Publishing co., River Edge, NJ* (2000), 104-112.
- [KS] O. Kowalski and J. Szenthe, On the existence of homogeneous geodesics in homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, *Geom. Dedicata* 81 (2000), 209-214. Erratum: *Geom. Dedicata* 84 (2001), 331-332. .
- [KV] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke, Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* 5 (1991), 189-246.
- [KV1] O. Kowalski and Z. Vlášek, Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with only one homogeneous geodesic, preprint, 2001, to appear in Publ. Math. Debrecen.
- [M] R.A. Marinosci, Homogeneous geodesics in a three-dimensional Lie group, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 43, 2 (2002) 261-270.
- [Me] P. Meessen, Homogeneous Lorentzian spaces whose null-geodesics are canonically homogeneous, *Letters Math. Phys.* 75 (2006), 209-212.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, Curvature of left invariant metrics on Lie groups, *Adv. Math.* 21 (1976), 293-329.
- [O'N] B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, New York: Academic Press, 1983.
- [P] S. Philip, Penrose limits of homogeneous spaces, *arXiv:math/DG0405506* (2004), 1-16.
- [R] S. Rahmani, Métriques de Lorentz sur les groupes de Lie unimodulaires de dimension trois, *J. Geom. Phys.* 9 (1992), 295-302.

- [Se] K. Sekigawa, On some three-dimensional curvature homogeneous spaces, *Tensor N.S.* 31 (1977), 87-97.
- [TV] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Homogeneous structures on Riemannian manifolds*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, vol.83, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "E. DE GIORGI",
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE,
VIA PROVINCIALE LECCE-ARNESANO,
73100 LECCE, ITALY.

E-mail addresses: giovanni.calvaruso@unile.it, rosanna.marinosci@unile.it